

12.1 Trials in Child Protective Proceedings	317
12.2 Time Requirements	318
12.3 Parties Who May Be Present at Trial	319
12.4 Rules of Evidence and Standard of Proof	319
12.5 Jury Procedures	319
12.6 Jury Instructions	321
12.7 Lawyer-Guardian ad Litem Recommendation.....	321
12.8 Motions for Directed Verdict in Jury Trials	321
12.9 Taking the Verdict in Jury Trials	322
12.10 Court's Authority to Call Additional Witnesses	322
12.11 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law by Judge or Referee	323
12.12 Records of Proceedings at Adjudicative Hearings	323
12.13 Motions for Rehearing or New Trial	324
A. Standards for Granting Relief	324
B. Procedural Requirements	325
C. Remedies	325
Appendix: Child Protection Jury Instructions	

In this chapter. . .

This chapter outlines the procedures for conducting a jury or bench trial in a child protective proceeding. It contains discussion of the purpose of a trial, time requirements, the standard of proof, and jury procedures. A set of jury instructions is attached as an appendix to this chapter. Also included in this chapter are the standards and procedures for granting or denying directed verdicts and motions for new trial or rehearing.

12.1 Trials in Child Protective Proceedings

In the context of a child protective proceeding, a “trial” is “the fact-finding adjudication of an authorized petition* to determine if the minor comes within the jurisdiction of the court.” MCR 3.903(A)(26). Child protective proceedings are civil, not criminal, proceedings. MCL 712A.1(2).

The court may conduct the trial in an informal manner. MCL 712A.17(1). Unless waived, the court must read the allegations in the petition at the beginning of a trial. MCR 3.972(B)(2).

If the factfinder concludes that the child is not within the jurisdiction of the court, the court must dismiss the petition. MCL 712A.18(1) and *In re Mathers*, 371 Mich 516, 531–32 (1963).

*See Section 7.11 for a discussion of authorization for filing petitions.

If the factfinder concludes that the child is within the jurisdiction of the court, the court will enter an order of disposition. It may:

*See Section 13.9.

*See also Sections 7.14 and 13.10 for discussion of the court's authority over "nonparent adults."

- order one or more of the dispositional alternatives contained in MCL 712A.18(1)* that are appropriate for the welfare of the child and society in view of the facts proven and ascertained, and
- make orders affecting adults as in the opinion of the court are necessary for the physical, mental, or moral well-being of the child or children under its jurisdiction. MCL 712A.6. The authority to fashion remedies under MCL 712A.6 extends beyond MCL 712A.18. *In re Macomber*, 436 Mich 386, 389–93, 398–400 (1990).*

Following adjudication, court takes jurisdiction over child, not parent. The court's jurisdiction is "tied to the children," and a petitioner is not required to "sustain the burden of proof at an adjudication with respect to every parent of the children involved in a protective proceeding before [it] can act in its dispositional capacity." *In re CR*, 250 Mich App 185, 205 (2002).

12.2 Time Requirements

The time requirements for trials in child protective proceedings are contained in MCR 3.972(A), which states as follows:

*See Section 5.12 for discussion of this court rule.

“(A) *Time*. If the child is not in placement, the trial must be held within 6 months after the filing of the petition unless adjourned for good cause under MCR 3.923(G).* If the child is in placement, the trial must commence as soon as possible, but not later than 63 days after the child is placed by the court unless the trial is postponed:

- (1) on stipulation of the parties;
- (2) because process cannot be completed; or
- (3) because the court finds that the testimony of a presently unavailable witness is needed.

“When trial is postponed pursuant to subrule (2) or (3), the court shall release the child to the parent, guardian, or legal custodian unless the court finds that releasing the child to the custody of the parent, guardian, or legal custodian will likely result in physical harm or serious emotional damage to the child.”

MCR 3.973(B), which governs notice of dispositional hearings, contemplates a combined adjudicative and dispositional hearing. That rule

states that “[u]nless the dispositional hearing is held immediately after the trial, notice of hearing may be given by scheduling it on the record in the presence of the parties or in accordance with MCR 3.920.” Moreover, MCR 3.973(C) assigns to the court’s discretion the interval between a trial and dispositional hearing (though not to exceed 35 days when a child is in placement). Thus, the two hearings may be combined if necessary preparations are completed prior to the hearing. Most importantly, a Case Service Plan must be prepared prior to the hearing. See MCR 3.973(E)(2).

12.3 Parties Who May Be Present at Trial

Before proceeding, the court must determine that the proper parties are present. MCR 3.972(B)(1). “The respondent has the right to be present, but the court may proceed in the absence of the respondent provided notice has been served on the respondent. The child may be excused as the court determines the child’s interests require.” MCR 3.972(B)(1). MCL 712A.12 states that “. . . the court in its discretion may excuse but not restrict children from attending the hearing.”

A member of a local Foster Care Review Board must be admitted to a trial. MCL 712A.17(6).

12.4 Rules of Evidence and Standard of Proof

MCR 3.972(C)(1) states as follows:

“(1) *Evidence; Standard of Proof.* Except as otherwise provided in these rules, the rules of evidence for a civil proceeding and the standard of proof by a preponderance of evidence apply at the trial, notwithstanding that the petition contains a request to terminate parental rights.”

The standard of proof required to terminate parental rights is “clear and convincing evidence,” or, if an Indian child is the subject of the proceedings, “beyond a reasonable doubt.”

12.5 Jury Procedures

Juries in child protective proceedings consist of six jurors. MCL 712A.17(2). Alternate jurors may be impaneled and may deliberate pursuant to MCR 2.511(B) and 2.512(A)(3). Prospective jurors must be summoned and impaneled in accordance with MCL 600.1376 et seq.

Jury procedures in child protective proceedings are governed by MCR 2.508–2.516 (civil cases), except as provided in MCR 3.911(C)(2), which states:

“(2) In a child protective proceeding,

(a) each party is entitled to 5 peremptory challenges, with the child considered a separate party, and

(b) a verdict in a case tried by 6 jurors will be received when 5 jurors agree.”

The applicable jury procedure rules are as follows:

- MCR 2.508 Jury Trial of Right
- MCR 2.509 Trial by Jury or Trial by Court
- MCR 2.510 Juror Personal History Questionnaire
- MCR 2.511 Impaneling the Jury
- MCR 2.512 Rendering Verdict
- MCR 2.513 View
- MCR 2.514 Special Verdicts
- MCR 2.515 Motion for Directed Verdict
- MCR 2.516 Instructions to Jury

Peremptory challenges. MCR 3.911(C)(2)(a) states that “each party is entitled to 5 peremptory challenges, with the child considered a separate party. . . .” However, MCR 3.911(C)(3) qualifies this as follows:

“(3) Two or more parties on the same side, other than a child in a child protective proceeding, are considered a single party for the purpose of peremptory challenges.

(a) When two or more parties are aligned on the same side and have adverse interests, the court shall allow each such party represented by a different attorney 3 peremptory challenges.

(b) When multiple parties are allowed more than 5 peremptory challenges under this subrule, the court may allow the opposite side a total number of peremptory challenges not to exceed the number allowed to the multiple parties.”

Thus, for example, if each of two respondents presents claims adverse to the other and is represented by a different attorney, each should be allowed three peremptory challenges, and the child and petitioner should be allotted six peremptory challenges each.

12.6 Jury Instructions

MCR 2.516(D) governs the creation, modification, and use of Model Civil Jury Instructions. In 1998, the Standard Civil Jury Instructions Committee (now the Model Civil Jury Instructions Committee) created standard jury instructions for child protective proceedings. See M Civ JI 97.01 et seq.* MCR 2.516(D)(4) states:

“This subrule does not limit the power of the court to give additional instructions on applicable law not covered by the model instructions. Additional instructions when given must be patterned as nearly as practicable after the style of the model instructions, and must be concise, understandable, conversational, unslanted, and nonargumentative.”

*A set of these instructions is included in the appendix to this chapter.

12.7 Lawyer-Guardian ad Litem Recommendation

MCR 3.972(D) allows a child’s lawyer-guardian ad litem to make a recommendation to the factfinder regarding whether a jurisdictional basis has been established. That rule states:

“(D) *Recommendation by Lawyer-Guardian ad Litem.* At the conclusion of the proofs, the lawyer-guardian ad litem for the child may make a recommendation to the finder of fact regarding whether one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven.”

12.8 Motions for Directed Verdict in Jury Trials

MCR 2.515 allows for a motion for directed verdict to be made at the close of the evidence offered by the opponent. Because the petitioner has the burden of proof, a respondent may move for a directed verdict at the close of the petitioner’s proofs, or a respondent may wait until all of the proofs have been presented. See M Civ JI 97.38 (respondent has no duty to present evidence) and *In re Taurus F*, 415 Mich 512 (1982) (petitioner has burden of proving that a child falls within the jurisdiction of the court). The motion must be supported by specific grounds. If the motion is denied, the moving party may offer evidence without having reserved the right to do so. Denial

of a motion for directed verdict does not constitute waiver of trial by jury. MCR 2.515.

The judge may grant a motion for directed verdict only “when the evidence does not establish a prima facie case and reasonable persons would agree that there is an essential failure of proof.” *Auto Club Ins Assoc v General Motors Corp*, 217 Mich App 594, 601 (1996). The evidence and all legitimate inferences that may be drawn from it must be viewed in a light most favorable to the nonmoving party. *Caldwell v Fox*, 394 Mich 401, 407 (1975).

12.9 Taking the Verdict in Jury Trials

MCR 3.911(C)(2)(b) states that a verdict in a case tried by six jurors will be received when five jurors agree. A party may require the jury to be polled. If the number of jurors agreeing is less than required, the jury must be sent out for further deliberation. MCR 2.512(B)(2)–(3) and *People v Bufkin*, 168 Mich App 615, 617 (1988). The court may discharge a jury:

“(1) because of an accident or calamity requiring it;

“(2) by consent of all the parties;

“(3) whenever an adjournment or mistrial is declared;

“(4) whenever the jurors have deliberated until it appears that they cannot agree.

“The court may order another jury to be drawn, and the same proceedings may be had before the new jury as might have been had before the jury discharged.” MCR 2.512(C)(1)–(4).

12.10 Court’s Authority to Call Additional Witnesses

The court has authority to call or examine witnesses and to order production of additional evidence or witnesses. MCR 3.923(A)(1) states:

“(A) *Additional Evidence*. If at any time the court believes that the evidence has not been fully developed, it may:

(1) examine a witness,

(2) call a witness, or

(3) adjourn the matter before the court, and

(a) cause service of process on additional witnesses,
or

(b) order production of other evidence.”

See *In re Alton*, 203 Mich App 405, 407–08 (1994) (in a delinquency proceeding, the court properly allowed additional testimony that directly addressed key conflicts between the testimony of the complainant and juvenile).

12.11 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law by Judge or Referee

Subchapter 3.900 of the Michigan Court Rules does not have a specific court rule dealing with findings of fact and conclusions of law by a judge or referee in a nonjury trial. Nor is MCR 2.517, the rule governing civil bench trials, applicable to proceedings under Subchapter 3.900. However, MCR 3.977(H), which sets forth the requirements for findings and conclusions following hearings on the termination of parental rights, may be helpful. That rule states, in relevant part:

“(H) Findings.

“(1) *General*. The court shall state on the record or in writing its findings of fact and conclusions of law. Brief, definite, and pertinent findings and conclusions on contested matters are sufficient. . . .

“(2) *Denial of Termination*. If the court finds that the parental rights of respondent should not be terminated, the court must make findings of fact and conclusions of law.

“(3) *Order of Termination*. An order terminating parental rights under the Juvenile Code may not be entered unless the court makes findings of fact, states its conclusions of law, and includes the statutory basis for the order.”

MCL 712A.10(1)(c) states that a referee must “make a written signed report to the judge . . . containing a summary of the testimony taken and a recommendation for the court’s findings”*

*See Chapter 15 (review of referee’s recommended findings and conclusions).

12.12 Records of Proceedings at Adjudicative Hearings

MCR 3.925(B) states that “[a] record of all hearings must be made. All proceedings on the formal calendar must be recorded by stenographic recording or by mechanical or electronic recording as provided by statute or MCR 8.108.”

12.13 Motions for Rehearing or New Trial

In a child protective proceeding, a party may seek a rehearing or new trial by filing a written motion stating the basis for the relief sought. MCR 3.992(A). MCL 712A.21 allows a petition for rehearing to be filed by “an interested person,” which includes a member of a local foster care review board. MCL 712A.21(3). “A motion will not be considered unless it presents a matter not previously presented to the court, or presented but not previously considered by the court, which, if true, would cause the court to reconsider the case.” MCR 3.992(A).

A. Standards for Granting Relief

MCR 3.992(A) does not state the standard for granting relief following a court’s consideration of a party’s motion for rehearing. *In re Alton*, 203 Mich App 405, 409 (1994). However, MCR 2.613(A), the “harmless error rule” for civil proceedings, applies to child protective proceedings. MCR 3.902(A). The “harmless error rule” states that “[a]n error in the admission or the exclusion of evidence, an error in a ruling or order, or an error or defect in anything done or omitted by the court or by the parties is not ground for granting a new trial, for setting aside a verdict, or for vacating, modifying, or otherwise disturbing a judgment or order, unless refusal to take this action appears to the court inconsistent with substantial justice.”

In *In re Alton*, *supra* at 409–10, the Court of Appeals remanded the case to the juvenile court for a rehearing on the juvenile’s motion for a new trial. In doing so, the Court adopted the following guidelines for ruling on such motions:

“In ruling on the motion, the parties and the trial court applied the rules for granting a new trial embodied in MCR 2.611(A)(1). That court rule is not applicable in juvenile delinquency proceedings. See MCR 5.901(B). Therefore, we remand this case for the trial court to reconsider the juvenile’s motion under the proper standard of review: whether, in light of the new evidence presented, it appears to the trial court that a failure to grant the juvenile a new trial would be inconsistent with substantial justice. MCR 2.613(A). In this case, that means the trial court must decide whether it appears that if the court refuses to grant the motion, it will be exercising jurisdiction over a juvenile who is not properly within its jurisdiction. The trial court must state the reasons for its decision on the record or in writing. MCR 5.992(E).” (Footnote omitted.)

In *In re Ayres*, 239 Mich App 8, 23–24 (1999), the Court of Appeals applied the standard applied in criminal cases when deciding whether to grant a new trial on the ground that the verdict was against the great weight of the evidence. A court may grant such a motion “only if the evidence

preponderates heavily against the verdict so that a miscarriage of justice would result from allowing the verdict to stand. *People v Lemmon*, 456 Mich 625, 642; 576 NW 2d 129 (1998). The trial judge is not allowed to sit as the ‘thirteenth’ juror and grant a new trial on the basis of a disagreement with the jurors assessment of credibility. *Id.* at 647.” *Ayres, supra*. In *Ayres*, the Court of Appeals held that inconsistencies in the witnesses’ testimony did not require reversal of the jury’s verdict, where the inconsistencies resulted from the witnesses’ age (from four to six years), and the charged offenses occurred about six months before trial. *Id.* at 24–25.

B. Procedural Requirements

Time requirements for filing motions and responses. The written motion stating the basis for the relief sought must be filed “within 21 days after the date of the order resulting from the hearing or trial. The court may entertain an untimely motion for good cause shown.” MCR 3.992(A).

Any response by parties to a motion for rehearing or new trial must be in writing and filed with the court and served on opposing parties within seven days after notice of the motion. MCR 3.992(C).

Notice requirements. MCR 3.992(B) states that all parties must be given notice of the motion in accordance with MCR 3.920.*

*See Sections 5.4–5.5.

No hearing required. MCR 3.992(E) provides that the court need not hold a hearing for a ruling on a motion for rehearing or new trial. “Any hearing conducted shall be in accordance with the rules for dispositional hearings and, at the discretion of the court, may be assigned to the person who conducted the hearing.”*

*See Section 13.5 for a discussion of the applicable evidentiary rules.

Stay of proceedings. MCR 3.992(F) provides that the court may stay any order pending a ruling on a motion for rehearing or new trial.

Findings by court. The court shall state the reasons for its decision on the record or in writing. MCR 3.992(E).

C. Remedies

MCR 3.992(D) states that “[t]he judge may affirm, modify, or vacate the decision previously made in whole or in part, on the basis of the record, the memoranda prepared, or a hearing on the motion, whichever the court in its discretion finds appropriate for the case.” The court may enter an order for supplemental disposition while the child remains under the court’s jurisdiction. MCL 712A.21(1).

Appendix: Child Protection Jury Instructions

*The new jury instructions may also be viewed online at www.courts.mi.gov/mcji/adopted-instructions/ch97.htm.

Effective March 12, 2005, the Committee on Model Civil Jury Instructions adopted new jury instructions for use in child protective proceedings. These new jury instructions are substantially similar to instructions approved for use by the Michigan Probate Judges Association.*

I. INSTRUCTIONS PRIOR TO VOIR DIRE

Preliminary Instructions to Prospective Jurors—M Civ JI 97.01

- (1) Ladies and gentlemen, I am Judge [_____] and it is my pleasure and privilege to welcome you to the [_____] County Circuit Court.
- (2) I know that jury service may be a new experience for some of you. Jury duty is one of the most serious duties that members of a free society are called upon to perform.
- (3) The jury is an important part of this court. The right to a trial by jury is an ancient tradition and is part of our legal heritage.
- (4) Jurors must be as free as humanly possible from bias, prejudice or sympathy for any party. All parties in a trial are entitled to jurors who can keep an open mind until the time comes to decide the case.

Selection of Fair and Impartial Jury—M Civ JI 97.02

- (1) A trial begins with the selection of a jury. The purpose of this process is to obtain information about you that will help us choose a fair and impartial jury to hear this case.
- (2) During jury selection the lawyers and I will ask you questions. This is called the voir dire. The questions are meant to find out if you know anything about the case. Also, we need to find out if you have any opinions or personal experiences that might influence you for or against any of the parties or witnesses.
- (3) The questions may probe deeply into your attitudes, beliefs and experiences. They are not meant to be an unreasonable prying into your private lives. The law requires that we get this information so that an impartial jury can be chosen.

(4) If you do not hear or understand a question, you should say so. If you do understand it, you should answer it truthfully and completely. Please do not hesitate to speak freely about anything you believe we should know.

Challenges—M Civ JI 97.03

During jury selection you may be excused from serving on the jury in one of two ways. First, I may excuse you for cause; that is, I may decide that there is a valid reason why you cannot or should not serve in this case. Second, a lawyer for one of the parties may excuse you without giving any reason for doing so. This is called a peremptory challenge. The law gives each party the right to excuse a certain number of jurors in this way. If you are excused, you should not feel bad or take it personally. As I explained before, there simply may be something that causes you to be excused from this particular case.

Brief Description—M Civ JI 97.04

You have been called here today as prospective jurors in the Family Division of the [_____] County Circuit Court. This is a child protection proceeding. It is not a criminal case.

Introduction to Parties, Counsel, and Witnesses—M Civ JI 97.05

(1) I will now introduce the parties to this case, the lawyers, and the witnesses, and you will be asked if you know any of them.

(2) The petitioner is [_____]. The petitioner's case will be presented by [Prosecutor, Attorney General, other Attorney]. The People of the State of Michigan are represented by [_____], an assistant prosecuting attorney for [_____] County.*

(3) The [mother/father/parents/guardian/nonparent adult/ respondent/custodian] [is/are] [_____ / and _____] and [he/she/they] [is/are] represented by lawyer _____.

(4) [_____], a lawyer, has been appointed by the Court to represent the [child/children]. (If both a lawyer-guardian ad litem and an attorney have been appointed for one or more of the children, give the following instead: [_____], a lawyer, has been appointed by the court to represent the best interests of the [child/children] and is called the lawyer-guardian ad litem for the [child/children]. [_____], a lawyer, has been appointed by the court to represent the wishes of child's name].)

*This sentence should be read only if the prosecutor appears on behalf of the people, as opposed to appearing on behalf of or as a legal consultant to, for example, the Family Independence Agency. MCL 712A.17(4) and (5), and MCR 3.914.

(5) The witnesses who may testify in this case are: (read list of witnesses).

*Because others may file petitions, this sentence may need to be modified accordingly.

Reading of Petition—M Civ JI 97.06

We are here today on a petition filed by [____], a Children's Protective Services worker for the [____] County Family Independence Agency*, alleging that the Court has jurisdiction over [names of children], who [was/were] born on [____], and [is/are] now [____] years of age. Under Michigan law, the Family Division of the Circuit Court has jurisdiction in proceedings concerning any child under 18 years of age found within the County: (read pertinent statutory allegations from MCL 712A.2(b)(1),(2),(3),(4) and/or (5)).

The allegations which the petitioner will attempt to prove are as follows: (read factual allegations in petition.)

Juror Oath Before Voir Dire—M Civ JI 97.07

(1) I will now ask you to stand and swear to truthfully and completely answer all the questions that you will be asked about your qualifications to serve as jurors in this case. If you have religious beliefs against taking an oath, you may affirm that you will answer all the questions truthfully and completely.

(2) Please raise your right hand. Do you solemnly swear or affirm that you will truthfully and completely answer all questions about your qualifications to serve as jurors in this case?

Seating of Jurors—M Civ JI 97.08

The bailiff/clerk will now draw the names of [six/seven] prospective jurors. As your name is called, please come forward and take your seat in the jury box, starting in the back row with the seat closest to the back of the courtroom, and filling in across the back row and then the front row in the same manner.

II. INSTRUCTIONS PRIOR TO PROOFS

Juror Oath Following Selection—M Civ JI 97.09

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, I will now ask you to stand and swear or affirm to perform your duty to try this case justly and to reach a true verdict. Please rise and raise your right hand:

Do you solemnly swear or affirm that, in this case now before the court, you will justly decide the questions submitted to you and unless you are discharged by the Court from further deliberation, you will render a true verdict; that you will render your verdict only on the evidence introduced and in accordance with the instructions of the Court?

Description of Trial Procedure—M Civ JI 97.10

(1) Now I will explain some of the legal principles you will need to know and the procedure we will follow in this trial.

(2) First, [Prosecutor, Attorney General, other Attorney] will make an opening statement in which [he/she] will give [his/her] theory of the case. The other lawyers do not have to make opening statements, but if they choose to do so, they may make an opening statement after [Prosecutor, Attorney General, other Attorney] makes [his/her], or they may wait until later. These opening statements are not evidence. They are only meant to help you understand how each party sees the case.

(3) Next, [Prosecutor, Attorney General, other Attorney] will present [his/her] evidence. [He/she] may call witnesses to testify and may show you exhibits such as documents or physical objects. The other lawyers have the right to cross-examine, that is, to question, [Mr./Ms. _____]'s witnesses.

(4) After [Prosecutor, Attorney General, other Attorney] has presented all of [his/her] evidence, the other lawyers may also offer evidence, but they do not have to. If they do call any witnesses, [Prosecutor, Attorney General, other Attorney] has the right to cross-examine them. [He/she] may also call witnesses to contradict the testimony of the other parties' witnesses.

(5) After all the evidence has been presented, the lawyers for each party will make their closing arguments. Like opening statements, they are not evidence. They are only meant to help you understand the evidence and the way each party sees the case. You must base your verdict only on the evidence.

Function of Judge and Jury—M Civ JI 97.11

(1) My responsibility as the judge in this trial is to make sure that the trial is run fairly and efficiently, to make decisions about evidence, and to instruct you about the law that applies to this case. You must take the law as I give it to you. Nothing I say is meant to reflect my own opinions about the facts of the case. As jurors, you are the ones who will decide this case.

(2) Your responsibility as jurors is to decide what the facts of the case are. That is your job and no one else's. You must think about all the evidence and then decide what each piece of evidence means and how important you think it is. This includes how much you believe what each of the witnesses said. What you decide about any fact in this case is final.

Jury Must Only Consider Evidence; What Evidence Is—M Civ JI 97.12

When it is time for you to decide the case, you are only allowed to consider the evidence that was admitted in the case. Evidence includes only the sworn testimony of the witnesses, the exhibits, such as documents or other things which I admit into evidence, and anything else I tell you to consider as evidence.

Judging Credibility and Weight of Evidence—M Civ JI 97.13

(1) It is your job to decide what the facts of this case are. You must decide which witnesses you believe and how important you think their testimony is. You do not have to accept or reject everything a witness says. You are free to believe all, none, or part of any person's testimony.

(2) In deciding which testimony you believe, you should rely on your own common sense and everyday experience. However, in deciding whether you believe a witness's testimony, you must set aside any bias or prejudice you have based on the race, gender, or national origin of the witness.*

(3) There is no fixed set of rules for judging whether you believe a witness, but it may help you to think about these questions:

(a) Was the witness able to see or hear clearly? How long was the witness watching or listening? Was anything else going on that might have distracted the witness?

(b) Does the witness seem to have a good memory?

*Include other improper considerations, such as religion or sexual orientation, where appropriate.

(c) How does the witness look and act while testifying? Does the witness seem to be making an honest effort to tell the truth, or does the witness seem to evade the questions or argue with the lawyers?

(d) Does the witness's age or maturity affect how you judge his or her testimony?

(e) Does the witness have any bias or prejudice or any personal interest in how this case is decided?

(f) Have there been any promises, threats, suggestions, or other influences that affect how the witness testifies?

(g) In general, does the witness have any special reason to tell the truth, or any special reason to lie?

(h) All in all, how reasonable does the witness's testimony seem when you think about all the other evidence in the case?

Questions Not Evidence—M Civ JI 97.14

The questions the lawyers ask the witnesses are not evidence. Only the answers are evidence. You should not think that something is true just because one of the lawyers asks questions that assume or suggest that it is true.

Court's Questioning Not Reflective of Opinion—M Civ JI 97.15

I may ask questions of some of the witnesses. These questions are not meant to reflect my opinion about the evidence. If I ask questions, my only reason would be to ask about things that may not have been fully explored.

Questions by Jurors Allowed—M Civ JI 97.16

(1) During the trial you may think of an important question that would help you understand the facts in this case. You are allowed to ask such questions.

(2) You should wait to ask questions until after a witness has finished testifying. If you still have an important question after all of the lawyers have finished asking their questions, don't ask it yourself. Instead, raise your hand, write the question down, and pass it to the bailiff. [He/she] will give it to me.

(3) There are rules of evidence that a trial must follow. If your question is allowed under those rules, I will ask the witness your question. If your question is not allowed, I will either rephrase it or I will not ask it at all.

Objections—M Civ JI 97.17

During the trial the lawyers may object to certain questions or statements made by the other lawyers or witnesses. I will rule on these objections according to the law. My rulings are not meant to reflect my opinion about the facts of the case.

Disregard Out-of-Presence Hearings—M Civ JI 97.18

Sometimes the lawyers and I will have discussions out of your hearing. Also, while you are in the jury room I may have to take care of other matters that have nothing to do with this case. Please pay no attention to these interruptions.

Jurors Not to Discuss Case—M Civ JI 97.19

You must not discuss the case with anyone, including your family or friends. You must not even discuss it with the other jurors until the time comes for you to decide the case. I will tell you when it is time for you to decide the case, and will send you to the jury room to begin your deliberations. You should then discuss the case among yourselves, but only in the jury room and only when all the jurors are there. When the trial is over, you may, if you wish, discuss the case with anyone.

Recesses—M Civ JI 97.20

(1) If I call for a recess during the trial, I will either send you back to the jury room or allow you to leave the building. During these recesses you must not discuss the case with anyone or let anyone discuss it with you or in your presence. If someone tries to do that, tell him or her to stop, and explain that as a juror you are not allowed to discuss the case. If he or she continues, leave them at once and report the incident to me as soon as you return to court.

(2) You must not talk to the parties, lawyers, or the witnesses about anything at all, even if it has nothing to do with the case.

(3) It is very important that you only get information about the case here in court, when you are acting as the jury and when the parties, the lawyers, and I are all here.

Caution about Publicity in Cases of Public Interest— M Civ JI 97.21

(1) During the trial, do not read, listen to, or watch any news reports about the case. Under the law, the evidence you consider to decide the case must meet certain standards. For example, witnesses must swear to tell the truth, and the lawyers must be able to cross-examine them. Because news reports do not have to meet these standards, they could give you incorrect or misleading information that might unfairly favor one side. So, to be fair to both sides, you must follow this instruction.

(2) (Give the instruction below when recessing)

Remember, for the reasons I explained to you earlier, you must not read, listen to, or watch any news reports about this case while you are serving on this jury.

Visiting Scene/Conducting Experiments—M Civ JI 97.22

Do not go to the scene of any of the incidents alleged in the petition. If it is necessary for you to view a scene, you will be taken there as a group under my supervision. Do not make any investigation of your own or conduct an experiment of any kind.

Notetaking by Jurors Allowed—M Civ JI 97.23

You may take notes during the trial if you wish, but of course, you don't have to. If you do take notes, you should be careful that it does not distract you from paying attention to all the evidence. When you go to the jury room to decide on your verdict, you may use your notes to help you remember what happened in the courtroom. If you take notes, do not let anyone except the other jurors see them. You must turn them over to the [bailiff/clerk] during recesses. If you do take notes, please write your name on the first page.

Notetaking Not Allowed—M Civ JI 97.24

I don't believe that it is desirable or helpful for you to take notes during this trial. If you take notes, you might not be able to give your full attention to the evidence. Therefore, please do not take any notes while you are in the courtroom.

Inability to Hear Witness or See Exhibit—M Civ JI 97.25

If you cannot hear a question by an lawyer, an answer by a witness, or anything I say, please raise your hand. When I recognize you, you should indicate what you did not hear. Do not hesitate to ask something be repeated, as it is very important that you hear everything that is said.

Defining Legal Names of Parties and Counsel—M Civ JI 97.26

From time to time throughout the trial I may address the lawyers as counsel, which is another word for lawyer.

Number of Jurors—M Civ JI 97.27

You can see that we have chosen a jury of seven. After you have heard all the evidence and my instructions, there will be a drawing by lot to decide which one of you will be excused in order to form a jury of six.

Instructions to be Taken as a Whole—M Civ JI 97.28

I may give you more instructions during the trial, and at the end of the trial I will give you detailed instructions about the law in this case. You should consider all of my instructions as a connected series. Taken together, they are the law which you must follow.

Deliberations and Verdict—M Civ JI 97.29

After all of the evidence has been presented and the lawyers have given their closing arguments, I will give you detailed instructions about the rules of law that apply to this case. You will then go to the jury room to decide on your verdict.

Maintaining an Open Mind—M Civ JI 97.30

It is important for you to keep an open mind and not make a decision about anything in the case until you go to the jury room to decide the case.

III. INSTRUCTIONS AFTER PROOFS

Duties of Judge and Jury—M Civ JI 97.31

(1) Members of the jury, the evidence and arguments in this case are finished, and I will now instruct you on the law. That is, I will explain the law that applies to this case.

(2) Remember that you have taken an oath to return a true and just verdict, based only on the evidence and my instructions on the law. You must not let sympathy or prejudice influence your decision.

(3) It is my duty to instruct you on the law. You must take the law as I give it to you. If an lawyer says something different about the law, follow what I say. At various times, I have already given you some instructions about the law. You must take all my instructions together as the law you are to follow. You should not pay attention to some instructions and ignore others.

(4) As jurors, you must decide what the facts of this case are. You must think about all the evidence and then decide what each piece of evidence means and how important you think it is. This includes whether you believe what each of the witnesses said.

(5) To sum up, it is your job to decide what the facts of the case are, to apply the law as I give it to you, and, in that way, to decide the case.

Evidence—M Civ JI 97.32

(1) When you discuss the case and decide on your verdict, you may only consider the evidence that has been properly admitted in this case. Therefore, it is important for you to understand what is evidence and what is not evidence.

(2) The evidence in this case includes only the sworn testimony of witnesses (the exhibits which I admitted into evidence, and anything else I told you to consider as evidence).

(3) Many things are not evidence and you must be careful not to consider them as evidence. I will now describe some of the things that are not evidence.

(4) The fact that a petition was filed alleging that the Court has jurisdiction over [Children's names], and that [he/she/they] [was/were] placed in foster care pending this hearing, and that [Mother's, Father's, Guardian's, Nonparent Adult's or Custodian's names] [is/are] present in court today is not evidence.

(5) The lawyers' statements and arguments are not evidence. They are only meant to help you understand the evidence and the theory of each party. The questions which the lawyers ask witnesses are also not evidence. You should consider these questions only as they give meaning to the witnesses' answers. You should only accept things the lawyers say that are supported by the evidence or by your own common sense and general knowledge.

(6) My comments, rulings, questions and instructions are also not evidence. It is my duty to see that the trial is conducted according to the law and to tell you the law that applies to this case. However, when I make a comment or give an instruction, I am not trying to influence your vote or express a personal opinion about the case. If you believe that I have an opinion about how you should decide this case, you must pay no attention to that opinion. You are the only judges of the facts and you should decide this case from the evidence.

(7) At times during the trial, I have excluded evidence that was offered or stricken testimony that was heard. Do not consider those things in deciding the case. Make your decision only on the evidence that I let in, and nothing else.

(8) Your decision should be based on all of the evidence regardless of which party produced it.

(9) You should use your own common sense and general knowledge in weighing and judging the evidence, but you should not use any personal knowledge you may have about a place, person or event. To repeat once more, you must decide this case based only on the evidence admitted during the trial.

Witnesses-Credibility—M Civ JI 97.33

(1) As I said before, it is your job to decide what the facts of this case are. You must decide which witnesses you believe and how important you think their testimony is. You do not have to accept or reject everything a witness said. You are free to believe all, none, or part of any person's testimony.

(2) In deciding which testimony you believe, you should rely on your own common sense and everyday experience. However, in deciding whether you believe a witness's testimony, you must set aside any bias or prejudice you may have based on the race, gender, or national origin of the witness.*

(3) There is no fixed set of rules for judging whether you believe a witness, but it may help you to think about these questions:

(a) Was the witness able to see or hear clearly? How long was the witness watching or listening? Was anything else going on that might have distracted the witness?

(b) Did the witness seem to have a good memory?

(c) How did the witness look and act while testifying? Did the witness seem to be making an honest effort to tell the truth, or did the witness seem to evade the questions or argue with the lawyers?

(d) Does the witness's age or maturity affect how you judge his or her testimony?

(e) Does the witness have any bias or prejudice or any personal interest in how this case is decided?

(f) (Have there been any promises, threats, suggestions, or other influences that affected how the witness testified?)

(g) In general, does the witness have any special reason to tell the truth, or any special reason to lie?

(h) All in all, how reasonable does the witness's testimony seem when you think about all the other evidence in the case?

(4) Sometimes the testimony of different witnesses will not agree, and you must decide which testimony you accept. You should think about whether the disagreement involves something important or not, and whether you think someone is lying or is simply mistaken. People see and hear things differently, and witnesses may testify honestly but simply be wrong about what they thought they saw or remembered. It is also a good idea to think about which testimony agrees best with the other evidence in the case.

(5) However, you may conclude that a witness deliberately lied about something that is important to how you decide the case. If so, you may choose not to accept anything that witness said. On the other hand, if you think the witness lied about some things but told the truth about others, you may simply accept the part you think is true and ignore the rest.

*Include other improper considerations, such as religion or sexual orientation, where appropriate.

Circumstantial Evidence—M Civ JI 97.34

(1) Facts can be proved by direct evidence from a witness or an exhibit. Direct evidence is evidence about what we actually see or hear. For example, if you look outside and see rain falling, that is direct evidence that it is raining.

(2) Facts can also be proved by indirect, or circumstantial, evidence. Circumstantial evidence is evidence that normally or reasonably leads to other facts. So, for example, if you see a person come in from outside wearing a raincoat covered with small drops of water, that would be circumstantial evidence that it is raining.

(3) You may consider circumstantial evidence. Circumstantial evidence by itself, or a combination of circumstantial evidence and direct evidence, can be used to prove a fact.

Statutory Grounds—M Civ JI 97.35

*The court should select the subsections that apply.

(1) The issue that you, the jury, will have to decide is whether one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven. If you find that one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven, then the Court will have jurisdiction over [Children's names]. I will now explain what those statutory grounds are. The Court has jurisdiction over a child:*

(a) If that child's parent or other person legally responsible for the care and maintenance of that child, when able to do so, neglects or refuses to provide proper or necessary support, education, medical, surgical, or other care necessary for his or her health or morals, or

(b) If that child is subject to a substantial risk of harm to his or her mental well-being, or

(c) If that child is abandoned by his or her parents, guardian or other custodian, or

(d) If that child is without proper custody or guardianship, or

(e) If that child's home or environment, by reason of neglect, cruelty, drunkenness, criminality, or depravity on the part of a parent, guardian, nonparent adult or other custodian, is an unfit place for that child to live in, or

(f) If that child's parent has substantially failed, without good cause, to comply with a limited guardianship placement plan regarding the child, or

(g) If that child's parent has substantially failed, without good cause, to comply with a court-structured plan regarding the child, or

(h) If that child has a guardian appointed for him or her under the Michigan Estates and Protected Individuals Code and

(i) that child's parent, having the ability to support or assist in supporting the child, has failed or neglected, without good cause, to provide regular and substantial support for the child for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, or if a support order has been entered, has failed to substantially comply with the order for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, and

(ii) that child's parent, having the ability to visit, contact or communicate with the child, has regularly and substantially failed or neglected, without good cause, to do so for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition.

Definitions—M Civ JI 97.36

(1) Neglect means the failure of a parent, guardian, nonparent adult or custodian to provide the care that a child needs, including the failure to protect the physical and emotional health of a child. Neglect may be intentional or unintentional. It is for you, the jury, to determine from the evidence in this case, what care was necessary for the [child/children] and whether or not [his/her/their] parent(s), guardian, nonparent adult or custodian provided that care.

(2) The legal definition of cruelty is the same as the common understanding of the word cruelty. It implies physical or emotional mistreatment of a child.

(3) Depravity means a morally corrupt act or practice.

(4) The legal definition of criminality is the same as the common understanding of the word criminality. Criminality is present when a person violates the criminal laws of the State of Michigan or of the United States. Whether a violation of the criminal laws of the State of Michigan or of the United States by a parent, guardian, nonparent adult or custodian renders the home or environment of a child an unfit place for the child to live in is for you to decide based on all of the evidence in the case.

(5) A child is without proper custody or guardianship when he or she is: 1) left with, or found in the custody of, a person other than a legal parent, legal guardian or other person authorized by law or court order to have custody of

the child, and 2) the child was originally placed, or came to be, in the custody of a person not legally entitled to custody of the child for either an indefinite period of time, no matter how short, or for a definite, but unreasonably long, period of time. What is unreasonably long depends on all the circumstances. It is proper for a parent or guardian to place his or her child with another person who is legally responsible for the care and maintenance of the child and who is able to and does provide the child with proper care and maintenance. A baby sitter, relative or other care-giver is not legally responsible for the care and maintenance of a child after the previously agreed-upon period of care has ended.

(6) Education means learning based on an organized educational program that is appropriate, given the age, intelligence, ability, and any psychological limitations of a child, in the subject areas of reading, spelling, mathematics, science, history, civics, writing, and English grammar.

(7) A child is abandoned when the child's [parent(s)/guardian/custodian] leave(s) the child for any length of time, no matter how short, with the intention of never returning for the child. The intent of the [parent(s)/guardian/custodian] to abandon the child may be inferred from the [parent's/parents'/guardian's/custodian's] words and/or actions surrounding the act of leaving the child.

Standard of Proof—M Civ JI 97.37

The standard of proof in this case is proof by a preponderance of the evidence. Proof by a preponderance of the evidence means that the evidence that a statutory ground alleged in the petition is true outweighs the evidence that that statutory ground is not true.

No Duty to Present Evidence—M Civ JI 97.38

[Mother's, Father's, Guardian's, Nonparent Adult's or Custodian's names] [has/have] no duty to present evidence that the statutory grounds alleged in the petition are not true. It is your duty to decide from the evidence that you have heard whether one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition are true.

Treatment of One Child as Evidence of Treatment of Another Child—M Civ JI 97.39

You have heard testimony about [another child/other children] of [Mother's/Father's names], namely, [Children's names]. [That child/Those children] [is/are] not the subject(s) of the petition(s) before you now. How

a parent treats one child is evidence of how that parent may treat another child. Therefore, if you choose to believe the evidence, presented by any party, relating to how [Mother's/Father's names] treated [that other child/those other children], you may consider it in making your decision in relation to [this child/any or all of these children].

Improvement in Circumstances Not Controlling—M Civ JI 97.40

If you find that one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven, the fact that circumstances may have improved since [date petition filed or another more appropriate date, where applicable] does not negate your finding.

Not Necessary to Prove Each Fact Alleged—M Civ JI 97.41

It is not necessary that each and every fact alleged in the petition be proven before you can find that one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven. It is necessary, however, that sufficient facts be proven so that, in your judgment, you can find by a preponderance of the evidence that one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven.

Unfit Home by Reason of Neglect or Cruelty —Res Ipsa Loquitur—M Civ JI 97.42

You may, but are not required to, find that the child's home or environment was an unfit place for the child to live in by reason of neglect or cruelty on the part of his or her parent, guardian, nonparent adult or custodian if you find all the following:

- 1) The child has suffered an injury or injuries.
- 2) The child was not capable of inflicting the injury or injuries on himself or herself.
- 3) The injury or injuries are such that would not ordinarily occur unless they were caused by another person inflicting them on the child or another person not providing proper care and supervision for the child in order to prevent the injury or injuries.
- 4) The child was in the exclusive control of his or her parent, guardian, nonparent adult or custodian at the time the injury or injuries occurred. The term "custodian" includes any other

person to whom the parent or guardian entrusted the care of the child if the parent or guardian knew, or should have known, that that person might injure the child or permit the child to be injured through lack of proper care and supervision.

- 5) The true explanation of what happened to the child is more likely to be within the knowledge of the parent, guardian, nonparent adult or custodian than the petitioner.

Findings Re: Statutory Grounds—M Civ JI 97.43

(1)(a) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names], mother, or father, or both, when able to do so, neglected or refused to provide proper or necessary support, medical, surgical or other care necessary for [his/her/their] health or morals, or

(b) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [was/were] subject to a substantial risk of harm to [his/her/their] mental well-being, or

(c) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [was/were] abandoned by [his/her/their] [mother/father/parents/guardian/custodian], or

(d) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [was/were] without proper custody or guardianship, or

(e) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that the home or environment of [Children's names] was an unfit place for [him/her/them] to live in by reason of neglect, cruelty, drunkenness, criminality or depravity on the part of [his/her/their] [mother, father, or both/guardian/nonparent adult/custodian], or

(f) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, [has/have] substantially failed, without good cause, to comply with a limited guardianship placement plan regarding the [child/children], or

(g) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, [has/have] substantially failed, without good cause, to comply with a court-structured plan regarding the [child/children], or

(h) If you find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [has/have] a guardian appointed for [him/her/them] under the Michigan Estates and Protected Individuals Code, and

(i) that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, having the ability to support or assist in supporting the [child/children], [has/have] failed or neglected, without good cause, to provide regular and substantial support for the [child/children] for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, or if a support order has been entered, [has/have] failed to substantially comply with the order for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, and

(ii) that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, having the ability to visit, contact or communicate with the [child/children], [has/have] regularly and substantially failed or neglected, without good cause, to do so for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, then you must find that one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven. (Read only those paragraphs below that have the same letter caption as the paragraphs you read from the first half of this instruction.)

(2)(a) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, when able to do so, neglected or refused to provide proper or necessary support, medical, surgical or other care necessary for [his/her/their] health or morals, and

(b) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [was/were] subject to a substantial risk of harm to [his/her/their] mental well-being, and

(c) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [was/were] abandoned by [his/her/their] [mother/father/parents/guardian/custodian], and

(d) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [was/were] without proper custody or guardianship, and

(e) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that the home or environment of [Children's names] was an unfit place for [him/her/them] to live in by reason of neglect, cruelty, drunkenness, criminality or depravity on the part of [his/her/their] [mother, father, or both/guardian/nonparent adult/custodian], and

(f) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, [has/have] substantially failed, without good cause, to comply with a limited guardianship placement plan regarding the [child/children], and

(g) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, [has/have] substantially failed, without

good cause, to comply with a court-structured plan regarding the [child/children], and

(h) If you do not find by a preponderance of the evidence that [Children's names] [has/have] a guardian appointed for [him/her/them] under the Michigan Estates and Protected Individuals Code, and

(i) that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, having the ability to support or assist in supporting the [child/children], [has/have] failed or neglected, without good cause, to provide regular and substantial support for the [child/children] for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, or if a support order has been entered, [has/have] failed to substantially comply with the order for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, and

(ii) that [Children's names] mother, or father, or both, having the ability to visit, contact or communicate with the [child/children], [has/have] regularly and substantially failed or neglected, without good cause, to do so for a period of two years or more before the filing of the petition, then you must find that none of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven.

Court to Determine Disposition—M Civ JI 97.44

You are not to concern yourselves with what will happen to [Children's names] if you should find that one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven. If the Court has jurisdiction of [this child/these children], that does not necessarily mean that [he/she/they] will be removed from their home or made [a ward/wards] of the court either temporarily or permanently. If the Court has jurisdiction of [this child/these children], the Court will then decide at a later time what to do about [this child/these children] and [his/her/their] family. There are many options available to the Court.

Not a Criminal Proceeding—M Civ JI 97.45

I instruct you that this is a child protection proceeding. It is not a criminal case. Therefore, the issue before you is not that of guilt or innocence, but whether one or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven. You should not consider this proceeding to be in any way involved with the criminal law so far as your deliberations are concerned.

Deliberations and Verdict—M Civ JI 97.46

- (1) When you go to the jury room, you should first choose a foreperson. [He/she] should see to it that your discussions are carried on in a businesslike way and that everyone has a fair chance to be heard.
- (2) When at least five of you agree upon a verdict, it will be received as the jury's verdict. In the jury room you will discuss the case among yourselves, but ultimately each of you will have to make up your own mind. Any verdict must represent the individual, considered judgment of at least five of you.
- (3) It is your duty as jurors to talk to each other and make every reasonable effort to reach agreement. Express your opinions and the reasons for them, but keep an open mind as you listen to your fellow jurors. Rethink your opinions and do not hesitate to change your mind if you decide you were wrong. Try your best to work out your differences.
- (4) However, although you should try to reach agreement, none of you should give up your honest opinion about the case just because other jurors disagree with you or just for the sake of reaching a verdict. In the end, your vote must be your own, and you must vote honestly and in good conscience.

Communications with the Court—M Civ JI 97.47

- (1) If you want to communicate with me while you are deliberating, please have your foreperson write a note and deliver it to the bailiff. It is not proper for you to talk directly with the judge, lawyers, court officers, or other people involved in the case.
- (2) As you discuss the case, you must not let anyone, even me, know how your voting stands. Therefore, until you reach a verdict, do not reveal this to anyone outside the jury room.

Exhibits—M Civ JI 97.48

- (Option 1) If you want to look at any or all of the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence, just ask for them.
- (Option 2) You may take the exhibits which have been admitted into evidence into the jury room with you.

Verdict—M Civ JI 97.49

There are only two possible verdicts in this case:

(1) One or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven.

(2) None of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition have been proven.

These possible verdicts are set forth in the verdict form(s) which you will receive. Only one of the possible verdicts may be returned by you [as to each child]. When at least five of you have agreed upon one verdict [as to each child], your foreperson should mark that verdict.

Dismissal of Extra Juror—M Civ JI 97.50

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury: You will recall that at the beginning of the trial, I told you that while seven jurors were seated to hear this case, only six would deliberate and decide the case. Seven jurors were selected in the event one of you become ill or otherwise could not complete the case. Fortunately, all of you remained healthy, so we must now excuse one of you from further participation in this trial. If you are excused, you may either leave or may remain in the courtroom to see what the verdict will be. If you are excused, please don't feel your time has been wasted. You may have been needed and your participation was important to the administration of justice. The [bailiff/clerk] will now draw the name of one juror by lot. [Bailiff draws name]. Thank you [name of juror]. You may step down.

Bailiff's Oath—M Civ JI 97.51

Do you solemnly swear that you will, to the best of your ability, keep the persons sworn as jurors in this trial from separating from each other, that you will not permit any communication to be made to them, or to any of them, orally or otherwise, that you will not communicate with them, or with any of them, orally or otherwise, except upon the order of this Court, or to ask them if they have agreed upon a verdict, until they shall be discharged, and that you will not, before they render their verdict, communicate to any person the state of their deliberations or the verdict they have agreed upon?

Begin Deliberations—M Civ JI 97.52

Ladies and gentlemen of the jury: Throughout this trial I have told you not to discuss the case among yourselves or with anyone else. Now is the time for you to discuss it among yourselves. Please follow the bailiff to the jury room to begin your deliberations.

IV. VERDICT FORMS

[Multiple statutory grounds alleged]

We, the jury, find that:

☐ One or more of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition concerning (child's name) have been proven.

☐ None of the statutory grounds alleged in the petition concerning (child's name) has been proven.

[One statutory ground alleged]

We, the jury, find that:

☐ The statutory ground alleged in the petition concerning (child's name) has been proven.

☐ The statutory ground alleged in the petition concerning (child's name) has not been proven.

